1 Thomas P. Kelly III, SBN 230699 50 Old Courthouse Square, Suite 609 Santa Rosa, California, 95404-4926 Telephone: 707-545-8700 Facsimile: 707-542-3371 3 Email: tomkelly@sonic.net 4 Andrea E. Michaelsen, SBN 250826 5 100 E Street, Suite 203 Santa Rosa, California, 95404-4606 Telephone: 707-546-5800 Facsimile: 707-546-5806 6 7 Email: anniemichaelsen@gmail.com 8 Attorneys for Plaintiffs Rick Rivera Ibe & Gene Rose Ibe 9 10 UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT 11 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA, SANTA ROSA DIVISION 12 In re: Bankruptcy Case Number: 11-12728 13 RICK RIVERA IBE Chapter 13 GENE ROSE MORENO IBE 14 Adversary Proceeding Number: -Debtors. 15 COMPLAINT FOR BREACH OF SSN: XXX-XX-9510 SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT SSN: XXX-XX-6420 16 17 RICK RIVERA IBE 99 South E Street Court: GENE ROSE MORENO IBE Santa Rosa, California 18 95404 Plaintiffs, 19 VS. 20 SELECT PORTFOLIO SERVICING INC. 21 Defendant. 22 23 24 25 26 27

I. INTRODUCTION

Plaintiffs Rick Rivera Ibe & Gene Rose Ibe (hereinafter "Plaintiffs") presents this action for breach of two prior settlement agreements for violations of the Discharge Injunction under 11 U.S.C. §524 entered into between Plaintiffs and Defendant Select Portfolio Servicing Inc.. (Hereinafter "Defendant").

As discussed in greater detail below, Plaintiffs have twice filed adversary proceedings against Defendant for ongoing violations of the Discharge Injunction both of which were settled out of court. Despite these prior actions, and despite these prior settlement agreements, and with full knowledge of the application of the Discharge Injunction under 11 U.S.C. §524, Defendant continued to send notices and statements to Plaintiffs in a deliberate, intentional, and purposeful breach of the prior settlement agreements.

II. PARTIES

- 1. Plaintiffs Rick Rivera Ibe & Gene Rose Ibe are an individuals and the debtors in that Chapter 13 Bankruptcy case filed with the Northern District of California, Santa Rosa Division, bearing case number 11-12728 and were the Plaintiffs in those adversary proceedings filed with the Northern District of California, Santa Rosa Division bearing case name *Ibe vs. Select Portfolio Servicing, Inc.* and bearing case numbers AP 16-01112 and AP 17-01020. Plaintiffs can be served with process via mail sent to their attorneys of record, Thomas P. Kelly III, 50 Old Courthouse Square, Suite 609, Santa Rosa, California, 95404 and Andrea E. Michaelsen, 100 E Street, Suite 203, Santa Rosa, California, 95404-4606.
- 2. Defendant Select Portfolio Servicing Incorporated is a Utah Corporation bearing entity number 1026229-0142 with a principal place of business in Salt Lake City, Utah and can be served with process via mail to it's registered agent for service of process in the State of California which is CSC-Lawyers Incorporating Service, 2710 Gateway Oaks Drive, Suite 150N, Sacramento, California, 95833 and also through its registered agent for service of process in the State of Utah which is Jason H. Miller, 3217 South Decker Lake Drive, Salt Lake City, Utah, 84119.

2

4

56

7

8

10 11

12

1314

15

16

1718

19

2021

22

2324

25

26

27

28

homas P. Kelly III 0 Old Courthouse Sq.

III. JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 3. This present adversary proceeding is one arising in Plaintiffs bankruptcy case bearing case number 11-12728 filed with this Court, and arising under Chapter 13 of Title 11 of the United States Code.
- 4. This Court has jurisdiction over this adversary proceeding pursuant 28 U.S.C. § 157 and 28 U.S.C. § 1334.
 - 5. This is a core proceeding as defined in 28 U.S.C. § 157(b).
 - 6. This adversary proceeding is brought pursuant to 11 U.S.C. §524.
- 7. Venue properly lies in this judicial district pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1409(a) as the present adversary proceeding is related to and arising from Plaintiff's Chapter 13 Bankruptcy Case arising under Title 11 of the United States Code which is before this Court.
- 8. Venue properly lies before in this judicial district pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1409(a) as it arises from prior adversary proceedings AP 16-01112 and AP 17-01020 filed with this Court as related to and arising from Plaintiffs Chapter 13 Bankruptcy Case arising under Title 11 of the United States Code which is before this Court.

IV. RELEVANT FACTS

- 9. On July 19, 2011, Plaintiffs filed a voluntary Chapter 13 bankruptcy petition in the United States Bankruptcy Court for the Northern District of California bearing case number 11-12728. (Hereinafter "Bankruptcy Case").
- 10. One of the scheduled debts in the Bankruptcy Case was for a secured debt in the amount of \$164,727.54 owed against that property located at 202-A Allen Richard Court, Moncks Corner, South Carolina, 29461. (Hereinafter "Property").
- 11. On August 21, 2015 Defendant filed a motion for relief from stay in Plaintiffs bankruptcy case as to the Property (See docket entry number 31 in the Bankruptcy Case) and which was granted on September 11, 2015. A copy of this order is attached hereto and marked as Exhibit A. (See also docket entry number 31 in the Bankruptcy Case).
- 12. This order did not grant Defendant permission to engage in any conduct other than to conduct a foreclosure on the Property and send those notices necessary to "comply with

8

11

California Civil Code Section 2923.5." (See Exhibit A, page 2).

- 13. On October 14, 2016, the Plaintiffs were granted a discharge of all dischargeable debts pursuant to 11 U.S.C. § 524. (See docket entry number 44 in the Bankruptcy Case).
- 14. On November 14, 2016, with full knowledge of Plaintiffs bankruptcy case, Defendant sent a demand letter to Plaintiffs for payment of the discharged debt on the Property in the amount of \$52,095.86.
- 15. As a result of this attempt to collect a discharged debt, on December 15, 2016 Plaintiffs initiated an adversary proceeding against Defendant bearing case name Ibe vs. Select Portfolio Servicing, Inc. and case number AP 16-01112. A copy of the complaint in this adversary proceeding is attached hereto and marked as Exhibit B. (See also docket entry number 51 in the Bankruptcy Case and Docket entry 1 in AP 16-01112).
- 16. While this adversary proceeding was pending, Defendant continued to contact Plaintiffs in violation of the Discharge Injunction under 11 U.S.C. §524. These contacts included the following documents:
 - A. December 15, 2016 - statement of account stating the total amount owed on the account is \$160,781.08, including a section entitle "Delinquency Notice" with the statement "You must pay this amount to bring your loan current." (See attached Exhibit C).
 - B. January 13, 2017 - statement of account stating the total amount owed on the account is \$161,236.25, including a section entitle "Delinquency Notice" with the statement "You must pay this amount to bring your loan current." (See attached Exhibit D).
 - C. February 15, 2017 - statement of account stating the total amount owed on the account is \$160,633.33. (See attached Exhibit E).
 - D. March 15, 2017 - statement of account stating the total amount owed on the account is \$162,029.59. (See attached Exhibit F).
 - E. March 16, 2017 - letter stating that "[Defendant] identified that you are in default under the terms of your mortgage or deed of trust (security

instrument)." (See attached Exhibit G).

- F. April 3, 2017 letter stating that "Your request for workout assistance on the above referenced account has expired." (See attached Exhibit H).
- G. April 13, 2017 statement of account stating the total amount owed on the account is \$162,425.02. (See attached Exhibit I).
- 17. On April 19, 2017, Plaintiffs and Defendant reached a settlement agreement for the violations of the Discharge Injunction under 11 U.S.C. §524. A copy of this settlement agreement is attached hereto and marked as Exhibit I. (Hereinafter "First Settlement Agreement").
- 18. The First Settlement Agreement was identified as confidential pursuant to Paragraph L. However, the confidentiality does not apply where it is "(vi) necessary to enforce the terms of this Agreement." (See Exhibit I, page 3, ¶L).
- 19. As a provision of the First Settlement Agreement, Defendants paid Plaintiffs \$3,000.00 as damages and Plaintiffs agreed to dismiss the pending adversary proceeding. To fulfill that requirement, Plaintiffs entered a voluntary dismissal on May 8, 2017. (See docket entry 15 in the record of AP 16-01112).
- 20. Only a week later on May 15, 2017, and despite having notice of the bankruptcy case, and despite having been sued in an adversary proceeding, and despite having paid \$3,000.00 to settle the prior violations, Defendant again continued to contact Plaintiffs in violation of the Discharge Injunction under 11 U.S.C. §524. These contacts included the following documents:
 - H. May 15, 2017 statement of account stating the total amount owed on the account is \$162,893.12. (See attached Exhibit K).
 - I. June 6, 2017 letter stating that "Your interest rate is scheduled to change again on January 1, 2018 with a corresponding payment change on February 1, 2018." This also includes a demand for payment of the discharged debt in the amount of \$96,292.42, an increase from the previous demand of \$52,095.86. (See attached Exhibit L).

- J. June 15, 2017 statement of account stating the total amount owed on the account is \$163,404.89. (See attached Exhibit M).
- 21. As a result of these continuing attempts to collect a discharged debt, on July 6, 2017 Plaintiffs initiated a second adversary proceeding against Defendant bearing case name *Ibe vs. Select Portfolio Servicing, Inc.* and case number AP 17-01020. A copy of the complaint in this adversary proceeding is attached hereto and marked as Exhibit N. (See also docket entry number 54 in the Bankruptcy Case and Docket entry 1 in AP 17-01020).
- 22. While this second adversary proceeding was pending, Defendant continued to contact Plaintiffs in violation of the Discharge Injunction under 11 U.S.C. §524. These contacts included the following documents:
 - K. July 14, 2017 statement of account stating the total amount owed on the account is \$164,004.83. (See attached Exhibit O).
 - L. August 15, 2017 statement of account stating the total amount owed on the account is \$164,778.96. (See attached Exhibit P).
 - M. September 7, 2017 letter stating that "Defendant identified that you are in default under the terms of your mortgage or deed of trust (security instrument)." (See attached Exhibit Q).
- 23. On August 22, 2017, Plaintiffs and Defendant reached a settlement agreement for the violations of the Discharge Injunction under 11 U.S.C. §524. A copy of this settlement agreement is attached hereto and marked as Exhibit R. (Hereinafter "Second Settlement Agreement").
- 24. The Second Settlement Agreement was identified as confidential pursuant to Paragraph L. However, the confidentiality does not apply where it is "(vi) necessary to enforce the terms of this Agreement." (See Exhibit L, page 3, ¶L).
- 25. As a provision of the Second Settlement Agreement, Defendants paid Plaintiffs \$4,000.00 as damages and Plaintiffs agreed to dismiss the pending adversary proceeding. To fulfill that requirement, Plaintiffs entered a voluntary dismissal on September 9, 2017. (See docket entry 8 in the record of AP 17-01020).

- 26. Once again, only six days later on September 15, 2017, and despite having notice of the bankruptcy case, and despite have been sued twice previously in adversary proceedings, and despite having paid \$7,000.00 to settle the prior violations, Defendant again continued to contact Plaintiffs in violation of the Discharge Injunction under 11 U.S.C. §524. These contacts included the following documents:
 - N. September 15, 2017 statement of account stating the total amount owed on the account is \$165,318.64. (See attached Exhibit S).
 - O. October 13, 2017 statement of account stating the total amount owed on the account is \$165,892.88. (See attached Exhibit T).
 - P. November 15, 2017 statement of account stating the total amount owed on the account is \$166,386.81. (See attached Exhibit U).
 - Q. November 24, 2017 letter stating that a foreclosure sale for the Property is set for January 3, 2018. (See attached Exhibit V).
 - R. December 6, 2017 letter stating that "Your interest rate is scheduled to change again on January 1, 2018 with a corresponding payment change on February 1, 2018." (See attached Exhibit W).
 - S. December 15, 2017 statement of account stating the total amount owed on the account is \$169,001.71. (See attached Exhibit X).
 - T. December 18, 2017 letter stating that lender-placed insurance had been placed on the Property and that "If Defendant has to purchase a policy/certificate on your behalf, you will be responsible for the cost of the policy/certificate beginning from the date the insurance is placed.." (See attached Exhibit Y).
 - U. December 21, 2017 letter identifying a single point of contact for the mortgage as "your relationship manager." (See attached Exhibit Z).
 - V. January 3, 2018 letter stating that a foreclosure sale for the Property is postponed to February 7, 2018. (See attached Exhibit AA).
 - W. January 9, 2018 letter identifying a single point of contact for the

- mortgage as "your relationship manager." (See attached Exhibit BB).
- X. January 11, 2018 statement of account stating the total amount owed on the account is \$169,582.93. (See attached Exhibit CC).
- Y. February 1, 2018 letter identifying the deficiency on the escrow account for the mortgage in the amount of \$29,510.55. (See attached Exhibit DD).
- Z. February 14, 2018 statement of account stating the total amount owed on the account is \$170,005.51. (See attached Exhibit EE).
- AA. February 19, 2018 letter stating that "In the mortgage documents you signed, you agreed to keep insurance on your property at all time. Failure to do so is a breach of those requirements." (See attached Exhibit FF).
- BB. March 12, 2018 letter stating that "Our records indicate that payments have not been made on this account as required by the mortgage documents." (See attached Exhibit GG).
- CC. March 15, 2018 statement of account stating the total amount owed on the account is \$170,427.18. (See attached Exhibit HH).
- DD. April 2, 2018 letter stating that lender-placed insurance has been cancelled "... that was issued in compliance with your mortgage/lien agreement." (See attached Exhibit II).
- 27. As set forth above, since December of 2016, Defendant has contacted Plaintiffs in writing regarding this discharged debt not less than thirty (30) times, of which seventeen (17) were after Defendant entered into both settlement agreements for exactly the same conduct which formed the basis of the adversary proceedings in the first place.

V. CAUSE OF ACTION FOR BREACH OF SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT

- 28. Plaintiffs hereby incorporates all allegations contained in paragraphs 1 through 27 above as though fully stated herein.
- 29. As a result of the filing of the petition and the discharge order in Plaintiffs bankruptcy case bearing case number 11-12728, the Discharge Injunction under 11 U.S.C. §524 was in effect.

- 30. Defendant was given notice of Plaintiffs bankruptcy filing by the Bankruptcy Court on July 29, 2011 (notice of the meeting of the creditors), and on October 14, 2016 (notice of the Plaintiffs discharge and final decree).
- 31. Defendant was served with and responded to both adversary proceedings in which Defendant was named as a party bearing case numbers AP 16-01112 and AP 17-01020.
- 32. Defendant entered into and agreed to the First Settlement Agreement and the Second Settlement Agreement in both adversary proceedings in which Defendant was named as a party bearing case numbers AP 16-01112 and AP 17-01020.
- 33. As set forth above, while the discharge injunction was in effect, Defendants deliberately, willfully, intentionally, and with explicit intent to collect a debt, took actions to enforce a debt in violation of 11 U.S.C. §524.
- 34. Defendants took these actions with full knowledge of Plaintiffs bankruptcy case, with notice from this Court, with notice of the two prior adversary proceedings, with notice of the two prior settlement agreements, and did so at all times with the expressly stated intent to pursue an action barred by the discharge injunction.
- 35. As this conduct was deliberate, willful, and intentional, and as a result an award of punitive damages for this violation is warranted as the conduct rises to the level of malicious or oppressive misconduct because Defendant was fully aware of the discharge injunction, entered into prior agreements to resolve this conduct, and continued with this conduct regardless of that knowledge.
- 36. The First and Second Settlement Agreement expressly authorizes the recovery of attorneys fees for Plaintiffs action herein as it is an action to enforce the settlement agreements, and the recovery of such fees is authorized under Paragraph J of both settlement agreements as follows:
 - "If any action is brought to enforce this Agreement, or is brought in connection with any dispute arising out of this Agreement or the claims which are the subject of this Agreement, the prevailing Party or Parties shall be entitled to recover damages, fees and other costs incurred in such litigation which they may prove are the direct and proximate result of any breach hereof in additional to any other relief which that Party or Parties may be entitled to by law." (See Exhibit J, page 3, ¶J and Exhibit R, page 3, ¶J).

VI. RELIEF REQUESTED

WHEREFORE, Plaintiffs respectfully request the Court enter a judgment as follows:

- 37. Awarding Plaintiffs damages against Defendant Select Portfolio Servicing Inc. according to proof for each breach of the settlement agreements in this case to be determined by this Court.
- 38. Awarding Plaintiffs punitive damages against Defendant Select Portfolio Servicing Inc. for each breach of the settlement agreements in this case to be determined by this Court.
- 39. Awarding Plaintiffs attorney fees and costs against Defendant Select Portfolio Servicing Inc., and for those fees and costs to be determined in a separate motion after final determination of this matter by the Court.
 - 40. Any other relief the Court deems just and proper.

Dated: May 25, 2018

Thomas P. Kelly III Attorney at Law

Dated: May <u>25</u>, 2018

Andrea E. Michaelsen Attorney at Law